Preprint typeset in JHEP style. - HYPER VERSION

IMSc/2001/04/23
hep-th/0108002

arXiv:hep-th/0108002v1 1 Aug 2001

Interaction between two Fuzzy Spheres

Subrata Bal and Hiroyuki Takata

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences,
CIT Campus, Madras - 600 113, INDIA
subrata, takata@imsc.ernet.in

Abstract: We have calculated interactions between two fuzzy spheres in 3 dimension. It depends on the distance r between the spheres and the radii ρ_1, ρ_2. There is no force between the spheres when they are far from each other (long distance case). We have also studied the interaction for $r = 0$ case. We find that an attractive force exists between two fuzzy sphere surfaces.

Contents

1. Introduction **1**
1.1 Space-time and brane from Matrix Model view point 2

2. Model for multi fuzzy spheres **3**
2.1 Classical Picture 3
2.2 One Loop Calculation for Two Blocks 5

3. One Loop Effective Action for Two Fuzzy Sphere system **7**
3.1 Interaction Between Two Fuzzy Spheres 8
3.1.1 Bosonic Sector 9
3.1.2 Supersymmetric Case 10

4. Conclusion **11**

1. Introduction

String theory in present form, include various types of extended objects like D-branes other than fundamental string. Therefore it is essential to reconstruct string theory such that it can treat them in unified way. The presence of D-branes brings the non-commutativity of space time. In fact we can derive non-commutative gauge theory on world volume of D-branes in string theory and that non-commutative gauge theory lead us to find corresponding matrix model.

IIB matrix model is one of the proposals for non-perturbative reconstruction of string theory [1].It is a large N reduced model of ten-dimensional supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory. In matrix model the space time and matter dynamically emerge out. As the space time are represented by the diagonal elements of the matrices the non-commutativity of space time is built in matrix model. At the same time, as the interactions are also described by the matrices the non-commutative yang-mills theory in a flat back ground can be obtained by expanding the matrix model around a flat non-commutative background [2]. Such non-commutative gauge theory is obtained in string theory by introducing background constant B-field [3]. The non-commutative background is a D-brane-like background which is a solution of the equation of motion. So, we can study the D-brane in flat background within the framework of matrix model. We need to formulate a matrix model which gives us a scope to study the D-brane in curved background also.

Recently other different non-commutative backgrounds, for *e.g.* a non-commutative sphere, or a fuzzy sphere have also been studied [4, 5]. In [4], non-commutative gauge theories on fuzzy sphere were obtained considering supersymmetric three dimensional matrix model actions with a Chern Simon term, expanding around a classical solution. Although an ordinary matrix model has only a flat background as a classical solution, this matrix model can describe a curved background owing to these terms.

Fuzzy sphere may correspond spherical D2-brane in string theory with background linear B-field in S^3 [6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Specially 0 radius one correspond to D0-brane. It is interesting to find out, in Matrix model, the object corresponding D2 or D0 brane in string theory. Also BPS objects corresponding BPS D branes are interesting.

In this paper, we will consider supersymmetric fuzzy sphere model in three dimension as in [4]. We get this model by adding a Chern Simon term to the reduced model [1]. We try to give a framework which allows us to study a multi fuzzy sphere system. We will study the two fuzzy spheres system in detail and try to investigate the interaction between them.

This paper is organized as follows. In section 2, we present the model for the multi fuzzy sphere in background space. We calculate interaction of fuzzy spheres and space. In section 3, we talk about the dynamics of the fuzzy spheres. We expand the action around a classical back ground and try to study the one loop interaction between fuzzy spheres in bosonic and supersymmetric case. In particular, we have calculated the interaction between two fuzzy spheres. We calculate the potential for such system in both large and small distance case. This potentials are attractive for supersymmetric case which vanish for long distance.

1.1 Space-time and brane from Matrix Model view point

In general, in matrix model, we deal with arbitrary Hermitian matrices. We can as well artificially partition these matrices into multiple blocks such that each diagonal block represents a part of space time (we call it an space time object or a brane.) and the off diagonal blocks represent the interaction between such branes. The size of such brane depends on the size of the matrix-block representing the brane. For example, block of size 1, describes a space time point.

Though the overall matrix is traceless, the individual blocks need not to be traceless and the value of trace of these blocks give the space time co-ordinate of the center of the block (object). In this paper we assume that the trace belongs to $\mathbf{R}^{10}$.

There may be some possibility of 'dynamical compactification' of 10 dimensional space time to $M^7 \otimes \mathbf{R}^3(S^3)$, which we are going to assume here [11].

It is known that there is non-commutative solution for classical equation of motion and non-commutative gauge theory on such space time object (both plane and sphere case).Iso et al [4] and others have shown that non-commutative gauge can be realised on fuzzy sphere. For the flat case the gauge interaction can be explained as

the open strings which ends on the object (brane). The force between two of such branes at long distance can be understood as close strings exchange between them. We like to understand whether this feature is valied for $M^7 \otimes \mathbf{R}^3(S^3)$ configuration of space time. In this paper we treat the interaction of two fuzzy spheres in $\mathbf{R}^3$.

2. Model for multi fuzzy spheres

2.1 Classical Picture

We start with $\mathcal{N} = 2$ SUSY Yang-Mills-Chern-Simon reduced model

$$S = \frac{1}{g^2} Tr\Big(-\frac{1}{4}[A_\mu, A_\nu][A^\mu, A^\nu] + \frac{2}{3} i\alpha \epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} A^\mu A^\nu A^\lambda + \frac{1}{2}\bar{\psi}\sigma^\mu [A_\mu, \psi]\Big). \tag{2.1}$$

This is obtained by reducing the spacetime volume of Yang-Mills-Chern-Simons theory to a single point [4] (c.f. Eguchi-Kawai and IKKT model [1]). The Chern-Simon term is added to the reduced model to have fuzzy sphere solution as classical equations of motion. A_μ, ψ_α are $N \times N$ traceless Hermitian matrices. A_μ is 3-dimensional vector and ψ is two components Majorana spinor. $\sigma_\mu (\mu = 1, 2, 3)$ denote Pauli matrices. $\mu, \nu = 1 \sim 3$, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2$. This action is also obtained as low energy effective action for spherical D2-brane in S^3, using $SU(2)$ WZW model as string theory in S^3 [6].

Action (2.1) has $SO(3)$ global symmetry, $A_\mu \to A_\mu + r_\mu \mathbf{1}$ translation symmetry and gauge symmetry by the unitary matrices $A_\mu \to U A_\mu U^\dagger$, $\psi \to U\psi U^\dagger$. This action is also has $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry

$$\begin{cases} \delta^{(1)} A_\mu = i\bar{\epsilon}\sigma_\mu \psi \\ \delta^{(1)}\psi = \frac{i}{2}([A_\mu, A_\nu] - i\alpha\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\lambda)\sigma^{\mu\nu}\epsilon \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} \delta A_\mu^{(2)} = 0 \\ \delta^{(2)}\psi = \xi. \end{cases}$$

The equations of motion corresponding to the action is

$$\begin{aligned} [A_\nu, [A_\nu, A_\mu] + i\alpha\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}A_\lambda] &= \frac{1}{2}\{\psi_\beta, \psi_\alpha\}(\sigma_0\sigma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} \\ [\psi_\alpha, A_\mu](\sigma_0\sigma_\mu)_{\alpha\beta} &= 0, \quad \sigma_0 = i\sigma_2. \end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

When $\psi = 0$, the typical solution for A_μ is, $A_\mu = X_\mu$, where

$$[X_\mu, X_\nu] = i\alpha\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}(X_\lambda - R_\lambda), \qquad [X_\mu, R_\nu] = 0 \tag{2.3}$$

represents an algebra of the fuzzy sphere configuration. The commuting solution $X_\mu = \mathrm{diag}(r_\mu^{(1)}, r_\mu^{(2)}, r_\mu^{(3)}, \cdots, \cdots, r_\mu^{(N)})$ is an special case of (2.3).

Remarkably, this equations of motion has solution which represent *arbitrary number of points or/and fuzzy spheres* with *various radius* and *centers*. To see is,

choose solution X_μ as block diagonal type,

$$X_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} X_\mu^{(1)} & & & 0 & \\ & X_\mu^{(2)} & & & \\ & & X_\mu^{(3)} & & \\ & 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & & X_\mu^{(l)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.4}$$

where mth block $X_\mu^{(m)}$ is a $n_m \times n_m$ irreducible representation of $SU(2)$ ($\sum_{m=1}^{l} n_m = N$) and obeys

$$[X_\mu^{(m)}, X_\nu^{(m)}] = i\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(X_\lambda^{(m)} - R_\lambda^{(m)}) \tag{2.5}$$

where $R_\lambda^{(m)}$ is proportional to identity matrix $1_{n_m \times n_m}$. Though $Tr(X_\mu) = 0$, $X_\mu^{(m)}$ need not to be traceless. These relation can be kept even when we assume relation,

$$\sum_{\lambda=1}^{3}(X_\lambda^{(m)} - R_\lambda^{(m)})^2 = \rho_m^2 1_{n_m \times n_m} \tag{2.6}$$

where $\rho_m^2 = \alpha^2 \frac{n_m^2 - 1}{4}$. Because of equation (2.5, 2.6), we can think of this configuration is multi fuzzy spheres. Now $r_\mu^{(m)} = \frac{1}{n_m} Tr(X_\mu^{(m)}) = \frac{1}{n_m} Tr(R_\mu^{(m)})$ gives the co-ordinate of mth block (center of mth fuzzy sphere or point) and ρ_m is the radius. At this point, we can comment, the elements of the matrix X_μ and the trace $r_\mu^{(m)}$ can be assumed to take any value from $\mathbf{R}$. Under this consideration the space configuration is $\mathbf{R}^3$.

Example 1. One Fuzzy Sphere Model

To construct a one fuzzy sphere model out of this current scenereo, we assume X_μ to be of the form

$$X_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} X_\mu^{(1)} & & 0 & \\ & r_\mu^{(2)} & & \\ 0 & & \ddots & \\ & & & r_\mu^{(N-n_1+1)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.7}$$

here, $n_{m>1} = 1$, $r_\mu^{(m>1)} \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$$[X_\mu^{(1)}, X_\nu^{(1)}] = i\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(X_\lambda^{(1)} - R_\lambda^{(1)}). \tag{2.8}$$

This configuration represents a fuzzy sphere with center at $r_\mu^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n_1} Tr(X_\mu^{(1)})$ and $(N - n_1)$ points at co-ordinates $r_\mu^{(m>1)}$ as back-ground.

Example 2. Two Fuzzy Sphere Model

We can construct a multi-fuzzy sphere picture out of this model. To construct a k fuzzy sphere case, we consider the same configuration as equation(2.4) with k

irreducible blocks and $N - \sum_{m=1}^{k} n_m$ points. For example, for two fuzzy sphere case we consider

$$X_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} X_\mu^{(1)} & & & 0 \\ & X_\mu^{(2)} & & \\ & & r_\mu^{(3)} & \\ 0 & & & \ddots \\ & & & r_\mu^{(N-n_1-n_2+2)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.9}$$

here, $n_{m>2} = 1$, $r_\mu^{(m>2)} \in \mathbf{R}$ and

$$[X_\mu^{(1)}, X_\nu^{(1)}] = i\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(X_\lambda^{(1)} - R_\lambda^{(1)}), \quad [X_\mu^{(2)}, X_\nu^{(2)}] = i\alpha\epsilon^{\mu\nu\lambda}(X_\lambda^{(2)} - R_\lambda^{(2)}) \tag{2.10}$$

This configuration represents two fuzzy spheres with centers at $r_\mu^{(1)} = \frac{1}{n_1}Tr(X_\mu^{(1)}) = \frac{1}{n_1}Tr(R_\mu^{(1)})$, $r_\mu^{(2)} = \frac{1}{n_2}Tr(X_\mu^{(2)}) = \frac{1}{n_2}Tr(R_\mu^{(2)})$ and $(N-n_1-n_2)$ points at co-ordinates $r_\mu^{(m>2)}$ as back-ground.

2.2 One Loop Calculation for Two Blocks

We assume one loop correction is good approximation for the interaction between fuzzy spheres.

To see the effect of the fluctuation for this model, we expand the original matrices around these back ground

$$A_\mu = X_\mu + \tilde{A}_\mu, \qquad \psi_\alpha = \chi_\alpha + \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha, \ \text{ choose } \chi_\alpha = 0 \tag{2.11}$$

where $N \times N$ matrices $\tilde{A}$ and $\tilde{\varphi}$ are quantum fluctuation.
1-loop correction of effective action W is calculated as

$$W = -ln \int d\tilde{A}\, d\tilde{\varphi}\, e^{-S_2} \tag{2.12}$$

where S_2 is quadratic terms of fluctuations in action (2.1). We add gauge fixing term and ghost term

$$S_{gf} = -\frac{1}{2g^2}Tr[X_\mu, \tilde{A}_\mu]^2, \qquad S_{gh} = -\frac{1}{g^2}Tr[X_\mu, B][X_\mu, C]$$

We re-write the X_μ in equation (2.4), as

$$X_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} Y_\mu^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & Y_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \quad R_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} S_\mu^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & S_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{2.13}$$

where, we treat $Y_\mu^{(1)}$ block as the fuzzy sphere(s) and we regard $Y_\mu^{(2)}$ as background. We consider the fluctuations of the from

$$\tilde{A}_\mu = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{A}_\mu^{(1)} & \tilde{B}_\mu \\ \tilde{B}_\mu^\dagger & \tilde{A}_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{\varphi}_a = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{\varphi}_a^{(1)} & \tilde{\psi}_a \\ \tilde{\psi}_a^\dagger & \tilde{\varphi}_a^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ B = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{B}_\mu^{(1)} & \tilde{D}_\mu \\ \tilde{D}_\mu^\dagger & \tilde{B}_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ C = \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{C}_\mu^{(1)} & \tilde{E}_\mu \\ \tilde{E}_\mu^\dagger & \tilde{C}_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

in terms of the above components, we can re-write the bosonic, fermionic and ghost parts of action (up to second order of the fluctuations) as

$$S_{2,B} = \frac{1}{g^2}\sum_{p=1,2} Tr\left\{-\frac{1}{2}[\tilde{A}_\mu^{(p)}, Y_\nu^{(p)}]^2 + 2i\alpha\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}S_\lambda^{(p)}\tilde{A}_\mu^{(p)}\tilde{A}_\nu^{(p)}\right\} +$$
$$\frac{1}{g^2}(\tilde{B}_\mu^\dagger)_{Ii}\Big[(\mathcal{H}^2)\delta_{\mu\nu} - 2i\alpha\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}\{(S_\lambda^{(1)}\otimes\mathbf{1} + \mathbf{1}\otimes S_\lambda^{(2)}) + \mathcal{H}_\lambda\}\Big]_{ijIJ}(\tilde{B}_\nu)_{jJ} \quad (2.14)$$

$$S_{2,F} = \frac{1}{2g^2}\left\{\sum_{p=1,2} Tr\tilde{\varphi}^{(p)}\sigma^\mu[Y_\mu^{(p)}, \tilde{\varphi}^{(p)}]\right\} + \frac{1}{g^2}(\bar{\tilde{\psi}}^\dagger)_{Ii}\left[\sigma_\mu(\mathcal{H}_\mu)_{ijIJ}\right](\tilde{\psi})_{jJ} \quad (2.15)$$

$$S_{2,G} = \frac{1}{g^2}\left\{\sum_{p=1,2} Tr[Y_\mu^{(p)}, \tilde{B}^{(p)}][Y_\nu^{(p)}, \tilde{C}^{(p)}]\right\}$$
$$+\frac{1}{g^2}\left\{(\bar{\tilde{D}}^\dagger)_{Ii}(\mathcal{H}^2)_{ijIJ}(\tilde{E})_{jJ} - (\bar{\tilde{E}}^\dagger)_{Ii}(H^2)_{ijIJ}(\tilde{D})_{jJ}\right\} \quad (2.16)$$

where

$$(\mathcal{H}_\mu)_{ijIJ} = (Y_\mu^{(1)})_{ij}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{IJ} - \mathbf{1}_{ij}\otimes(Y_\mu^{(2)})_{IJ}^*$$

$i, j = 1\cdots dim(Y_\mu^{(1)})$, $I, J = 1\cdots dim(Y_\mu^{(2)})$ and "*" denote complex conjugate.

From this, we can get one-loop effective action for one fuzzy sphere or multi-fuzzy sphere system considering one block (irreducible) or multi-block diagonal (reducible) form for $Y^{(1)}$. In equation (2.14-2.16) each first term represents self interaction of blocks of equation (2.13) and each second term represents interaction between two blocks.

Example : One Fuzzy Sphere case

For example, if we replace $Y^{(1)}$ by $X^{(1)}$ and $Y^{(2)}$ by rest diagonal part as equation (2.7), we get the one loop effective action for one fuzzy sphere case. We can see, in such case the first part of individual equations gives the self-interaction term; $p = 1$ term is fuzzy sphere self interaction and $p = 2$ term gives the self interaction between the space points. The second part of the equations are the interaction between the fuzzy sphere and the space points.

If, we take one fuzzy sphere at origin *ie* $R_\mu^{(1)} = 0$, the self interaction term for one fuzzy sphere is

$$S_{2,B}^{(self)} = -\frac{1}{2g^2}Tr[\tilde{A}_\mu^{(1)}, Y_\nu^{(1)}]^2$$
$$S_{2,F}^{(self)} = \frac{1}{2g^2}Tr\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}\sigma^\mu[Y_\mu^{(1)}, \tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}]$$
$$S_{2,G}^{(self)} = \frac{1}{g^2}Tr[Y_\mu^{(1)}, \tilde{B}^{(1)}][Y_\nu^{(1)}, \tilde{C}^{(1)}]$$

we get similar expressions of self-interaction for the model in [4].

Similarly, if we replace $Y^{(1)}$ by a block diagonal form with two irreducible blocks (as equation (2.9)), we get the one loop effective action for two fuzzy sphere case. We will discussed about this in detail in the following section.

3. One Loop Effective Action for Two Fuzzy Sphere system

As we have seen in earlier section, we can calculate the one loop effective action from equations(2.14-2.16). For this we consider the same configuration as equation(2.13), consider $Y_\mu^{(1)}$ as block-diagonal with two blocks (same as eqn. 2.9) , each block representing one fuzzy sphere. For two fuzzy sphere configuration, we assume the following form for the back-ground and fluctuation matrices.

$$Y_\mu^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} X_\mu^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & X_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{A}_\mu^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} a_\mu^{(1)} & b_\mu \\ b_\mu^\dagger & a_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{\varphi}_\alpha^{(1)} = \alpha s_\alpha, \ s_\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} s_\alpha^{(1)} & t_\alpha \\ t_\alpha^\dagger & s_\alpha^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\tilde{B}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} b^{(1)} & d \\ d^\dagger & b^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{C}^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} c^{(1)} & e \\ e^\dagger & c^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ S_\lambda^{(1)} = \begin{pmatrix} R_\lambda^{(1)} & 0 \\ 0 & R_\lambda^{(2)} \end{pmatrix} \tag{3.1}$$

where, in these matrices, the first diagonal block is $n_1 \times n_1$ matrix and the second is $n_2 \times n_2$. For calculational simplicity, we further assume $Y_\mu^{(2)} = 0, R_\lambda^{(2)} = 0$. $R_\lambda^{(i=1,2)} = r_\lambda^{(i)} \mathbf{1}_{n_i \times n_i}$. $r_\lambda^{(1)} = \frac{n_2}{n_1+n_2} r_\lambda$, $r_\lambda^{(2)} = -\frac{n_1}{n_1+n_2} r_\lambda$, are the centers of two fuzzy spheres and r_λ is the distance vector between them.

$$\tilde{D} = \begin{pmatrix} D^{(1)} \\ D^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{E} = \begin{pmatrix} E^{(1)} \\ E^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{B_\mu} = \begin{pmatrix} B_\mu^{(1)} \\ B_\mu^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}, \ \tilde{\psi_a} = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_a^{(1)} \\ \psi_a^{(2)} \end{pmatrix}$$

where, in these matrices, the upper block is $n_1 \times (N - n_1 - n_2)$ matrix and the second is $n_2 \times (N - n_1 - n_2)$.
Putting these in equation (2.14 - 2.16), the total bilinear terms can be written as the sum of following 9 terms.

$$S_{2,B}^{(self)} = \frac{1}{g^2} \sum_{i=1,2} Tr \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} [a_\mu^{(i)}, X_\nu^{(i)}]^2 + 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} R_\lambda^{(i)} a_\mu^{(i)} a_\nu^{(i)} \right\} \tag{3.2}$$

$$S_{2,F}^{(self)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2g^2} \sum_{i=1,2} Tr \left\{ s^{(i)} \sigma^\mu [L_\mu^{(i)}, s^{(i)}] \right\} \tag{3.3}$$

$$S_{2,G}^{(self)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{g^2} \left\{ \sum_{i=1,2} Tr [L_\mu^{(i)}, b^{(i)}][L_\nu^{(i)}, c^{(i)}] \right\} \tag{3.4}$$

$$S_{2,B}^{(back)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{g^2} \sum_{i=1,2} (\tilde{B}_\mu^{(i)\dagger})_{Ik_i} \left[(L_\rho^{(1)} \otimes \mathbf{1})^2 \delta_{\mu\nu} - 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda} c_\lambda^{(i)} \mathbf{1} \right]_{k_i l_i IJ} (\tilde{B}_\nu^{(i)})_{l_i J} \tag{3.5}$$

$$S_{2,F}^{(back)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{2g^2} \sum_{i=1,2} (\bar{\tilde{T}})_{Ik_i} \left[\sigma_\mu (L_\mu^{(i)})_{k_i l_i IJ} \right] (\tilde{T})_{l_i J} \tag{3.6}$$

$$S_{2,G}^{(back)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{g^2}\sum_{i=1,2}\left\{(\bar{\tilde{D}}^\dagger)_{Ik_i}(L^{(i)}\otimes\mathbf{1})^2_{k_il_iIJ}(\tilde{E})_{l_iJ} - (\bar{\tilde{E}}^\dagger)_{Ik_i}(L^{(i)}\otimes\mathbf{1})^2_{k_il_iIJ}(\tilde{D})_{l_iJ}\right\} \quad (3.7)$$

$$S_{2,B}^{(1)(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{g^2}(b_\mu^\dagger)_{k_2k_1}\left[(H^2)\delta_{\mu\nu} - 2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}c_\lambda\otimes\mathbf{1}\right]_{k_1l_1k_2l_2}(b_\nu)_{l_1l_2} \quad (3.8)$$

$$S_{2,F}^{(1)(2)} = \frac{2\alpha^2}{g^2}(\bar{t})_{k_2k_1}\left[\sigma_\mu(H_\mu)_{k_1l_1k_2l_2}\right](t)_{l_1l_2} \quad (3.9)$$

$$S_{2,G}^{(1)(2)} = \frac{\alpha^2}{g^2}\left\{(\bar{d})_{k_2k_1}(H^2)_{k_1l_1k_2l_2}(e)_{l_1l_2} - (\bar{e})_{k_2k_1}(H^2)_{k_1l_1k_2l_2}(d)_{l_1l_2}\right\} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$(H_\mu)_{k_1l_1k_2l_2} = (L_\mu^{(1)})_{k_1l_1}\otimes\mathbf{1}_{k_2l_2} - \mathbf{1}_{k_1l_1}\otimes{(L_\mu^{(2)})_{k_2l_2}}^*$$

$k_i, l_i = 1\cdots n_i$, $I, J = 1\cdots(N-n_1-n_2)$, $L_\mu^{(i)} = \frac{1}{\alpha}X_\mu^{(i)}$, $c_\mu = \frac{1}{\alpha}r_\mu$.
We can see each of bosonic, fermionic and ghost has three parts describing self-interaction (denoted by $S_2^{(self)}$), interaction between two fuzzy spheres (denoted by $S_2^{(1)(2)}$) and the extra piece coming from the interaction of each fuzzy sphere with the back ground (denoted by $S_2^{(back)}$ index i, for i-th one). We can as well say these extra piece as part of the self energy of the fuzzy spheres because they exist even for one fuzzy sphere case (section 2.2).

3.1 Interaction Between Two Fuzzy Spheres

We assume one loop correction is good approximation for the interaction between fuzzy spheres [1]. 1-loop correction of effective action W is calculated as

$$W = -ln\int da\,ds\,db\,dc\,e^{-S_2} \quad (3.11)$$

As the the total action S decouples into each sector, we can write

$$W = W_{(B+F+G)}^{(self)} + W_{(B+F+G)}^{(back)} + W_B^{(1)(2)} + W_F^{(1)(2)} + W_G^{(1)(2)} \quad (3.12)$$

where indices correspond to those of equations(3.2-3.10).
We are now interested in following parts those are from interactions between two fuzzy spheres.

$$\begin{aligned}
W_B^{(1)(2)} &= -ln\int db\,db^\dagger e^{-b_\mu^\dagger\left[H^2\delta_{\mu\nu}-2i\epsilon_{\mu\nu\lambda}c_\lambda\right]b_\nu} \\
&= -ln\left[det^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left(H^2 - 2i\epsilon\cdot c\right)\right]^2 \\
W_F^{(1)(2)} &= -ln\int dt\,dt^\dagger e^{-\bar{t^\dagger}[\sigma_\mu H_\mu]t} \\
&= -ln\left[det^{\frac{1}{2}}\sigma\cdot H\right]^2 \\
W_G^{(1)(2)} &= -ln\int dd\,dd^\dagger\,dc\,dc^\dagger e^{-d^\dagger H^2 e + e^\dagger H^2 d} \\
&= -ln\left[detH^2\right]^2
\end{aligned}$$

where squares of determinants come from two off-diagonal blocks of matrices and $\frac{1}{2}$ in $W_F^{(1)(2)}$ is because of Majorana spinor.

[1] This is not a good approximation for two intersecting fuzzy spheres, for eg, $N_1 = N_2, c = 0$.

3.1.1 Bosonic Sector

Without loss of generality, two fuzzy spheres are assumed to be separated by r in 3rd direction

$$c_\mu = (0, 0, c), \quad r = \alpha c$$

Then diagonalise the operator in bosonic part

$$H^2 - 2i\epsilon \cdot c = \begin{pmatrix} H^2 & -2ic & 0 \\ 2ic & H^2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H^2 \end{pmatrix} \sim \begin{pmatrix} H^2 - 2c & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & H^2 + 2c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & H^2 \end{pmatrix}$$

So, we can write the bosonic contribution to the effective action (including the ghost part) as,

$$W_B^{(1)(2)} = \frac{1}{2}\text{Ln}\left[\det\left(\frac{(H^2 - 2c)\,(H^2 + 2c)}{H^2}\right)\right] \tag{3.13}$$

We define $J_\mu = H_\mu + c_\mu$ and $K_\mu = J_\mu + S_\mu$, where $S_\mu = \frac{\sigma_\mu}{2}$. Both J_μ and K_μ follow $SU(2)$ algebra. j, maximum eigen value of J_3, varies from $j_{min} = |\frac{n_1 - n_2}{2}|$ to $j_{max} = (\frac{n_1+n_2}{2} - 1)$ and $k = j \pm \frac{1}{2}$. $H^2, H^2 \pm c$ or $H \cdot \sigma$) are block diagonal, each block representing a particular value of j. So, we can write

$$W_{(B+G)}^{(1)(2)} = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[\prod_{j=j_{min}}^{j_{max}} (w_B)_j\right] \tag{3.14}$$

where, $(w_B)_j$ is the determinant of jth block and can be calculated to be

$$(w_B)_j = \frac{(c^2 - 2c(j+1) + j(j+1))^2}{(c^2 + 2cj + j(j+1))^2} \prod_{i=-j}^{j} (c^2 + 2c(-i+1) + j(j+1))^2 \tag{3.15}$$

For $c << 1$, when the fuzzy spheres are co-centric, there is a non-zero interaction

$$W_{(B+G)}^{(1)(2)} = \sum_{j=j_{min}}^{j_{max}} (2j+1) ln[j(j+1)] - \frac{2}{3}\left[\frac{1}{n_1+n_2}\left(1 + \frac{24}{n_1+n_2}\right) - \frac{1}{n_1-n_2}\left(1 + \frac{24}{n_1-n_2}\right) + \sum_{j=j_{min}}^{j_{max}} \frac{1}{j}\right] c^2 + O\left(c^4\right).$$

For $c >> 1$ *ie* when the fuzzy spheres are far apart,

$$W_{(B+G)}^{(1)(2)} = n_1 n_2 \log c^2 + \frac{n_1 n_2}{4}(n_1^2 + n_2^2 - 18)\frac{1}{c^2} + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right). \tag{3.16}$$

3.1.2 Supersymmetric Case

Summing up all these contributions,

$$W^{(1)(2)} = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[\det \left(\frac{\left(H^2 - 2c\right)\left(H^2 + 2c\right)}{H^2 \left(\sigma \cdot H\right)^2} \right) \right] \tag{3.17}$$

We can write

$$W^{(1)(2)} = \frac{1}{2} ln \left[\prod_{j=j_{min}}^{j_{max}} w_j \right] \tag{3.18}$$

where, $w_j = \tilde{w}_j(c)\tilde{w}_j(-c)$ is the determinant of jth block and

$$\tilde{w}_j(c) = \frac{\left[c^2 + c(2j+1) + j(j+1)\right]\left[c^2 + 2c(j+1) + j(j+1)\right]}{(c+j)^2\left[c^2 + 2cj + j(j+1)\right]} \times \prod_{i=-j}^{j} \frac{\left[c^2 + 2c(i+1) + j(j+1)\right]}{\left[c^2 + c(2i+1) + j(j+1)\right]}. \tag{3.19}$$

When $c = \infty$, *ie* the fuzzy spheres are at large distance, $W^{(1)(2)} = 0$, *ie* two fuzzy spheres do not interact each other when they are far apart. This feature is different from bosonic case. This is because of some cancelation between bosonic and the fermionic contributions.

Expanding $W^{(1)(2)}$ around $c = 0$ and $c = \infty$, we can get the potential between two fuzzy sphere for small and large distance.
For small c,

$$W^{(1)(2)}_{c<<1} = \log \left| \frac{n_1 + n_2}{n_1 - n_2} \right| - 96 \frac{n_1 n_2}{(n_1+n_2)^2 (n_1 - n_2)^2} c^2 + O\left(c^4\right). \tag{3.20}$$

We see an attractive force between the fuzzy sphere surfaces (repulsive between the centers), when the centers are close to each other.
For large c,

$$W^{(1)(2)}_{c>>1} = -8 n_1 n_2 / c^2 + O\left(\frac{1}{c^4}\right). \tag{3.21}$$

So, there also exists an attractive force between the fuzzy spheres when they are at large distances. This result is consistent with the earlier observation that D2(D0)-branes form a bigger D2-brane in string theory [12, 8]. We can compare this to equation (3.16) and find some cancelation between bosonic and fermionic part, that is because of part of supersymmetry.

Spherical BPS D2-brane from string theory in S^2 does not interact when they are "parallel" ($c = 0$) to each other. However from our approach in matrix model the first term of equation (3.20) exists even for $c = 0$. It may be explained as the quadratic part of action S^2 (eqn. 3.7-3.9) itself is not supersymmetric under the susy

transformations in eqn(2.2). But for large distance case ($c \to \infty$) this S^2 recovers $\mathcal{N} = 1$ supersymmetry and in such case $W^{(1)(2)}$ vanishes. Moreover in such case, we can not use only quadratic part of off-diagonal part in equation (3.1) when the size of n_1 and n_2 is not so different, that corresponds to overlapping of surface of two spheres.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, we have presented a general fuzzy sphere model in three dimension, which allows multi fuzzy sphere system with discretely arbitrary radii and arbitrary location in $\mathbf{R}^3$. We have added a Chern Simon term to the reduced model of 3D SYM. In original model the space and branes (*eg* fuzzy spheres) are not separately distinguishable. We have artificially partitioned the matrices into multiple block diagonal form. In such case, the classical solution represents a system of space and fuzzy spheres (branes). Classically these fuzzy spheres and space are non-interacting. We have tried to calculate the interaction as the one loop quantum effect. In section 2 we have studied the fuzzy sphere and space time. We have calculated interaction of fuzzy spheres and space (2.14 - 2.16). In section 3, one loop interaction of fuzzy spheres in bosonic and supersymmetric case are studied. In particular, we have calculated the interaction between two fuzzy spheres with radii ($\rho_1 \sim \alpha n_1, \rho_2 \sim \alpha n_2$) ($n_1$ and n_2 is arbitrary) at distance ($r = \alpha c$). We have determined the one loop effective action for such system for both bosonic case and supersymmetric case for two concentric fuzzy spheres ($c << 1$) and for two fuzzy spheres kept far apart ($c >> 1$). There is a cancelation between bosonic and fermionic part. In supersymmetric case, there is an attractive force between two fuzzy sphere surfaces for both large and small distance case. Even this model has a $\mathcal{N} = 2$ supersymmetry, the one loop contribution for concentric case is non-zero for nearly equal n_1 and n_2. This is probably because of the fact the one loop approximation is not good approximation in such case. It will be interesting to compare equations (3.20, 3.21) with those from spherical D2-brane interactions in $SU(2)$ WZW model.

Acknowledgments

We would like to thank T. R. Govindarajan, N. D. Hari Dass, T. Jayaraman and B. Sathiapalan for useful discussions and suggestions. H. T. would like to thank A. Sen for fruitful discussions during his visit in HRI.

References

[1] H. Aoki, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, T. Tada, A. Tsuchiya *IIB Matrix Model*, Prog.Theor.Phys.Suppl. 134 (1999) 47-83 hep-th/9908038

N. Ishibashi, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa and A. Tsuchia, *A Large N reduced model as superstring*, Nucl. Phys. B498(1997), hep-th/9612115
T. Eguchi and H. Kawai, *Reduction Of Dynamical Degrees Of Freedom In The Large N Gauge Theory*, Phys.Rev.Lett. 48 (1982) 1063

[2] D. Gross and Y. Kitazawa, *A Quenched Momentum Prescription For Large N Theories*, Nucl.Phys. B206 (1982) 440 Alain Connes, Michael R. Douglas, Albert Schwarz *Noncommutative Geometry and Matrix Theory: Compactification on Tori*, JHEP 9802 (1998) 003, hep-th/9711162 H. Aoki, N. Ishibashi, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, T. Tada *Noncommutative Yang-Mills in IIB Matrix Model*, Nucl.Phys. B565 (2000) 176-192 hep-th/9908141

[3] Michael R. Douglas and Chris Hull, *D-branes and the Noncommutative Torus*, JHEP 9802 (1998) 008, hep-th/9711165 Yeuk-Kwan E. Cheung and Morten Krogh, *Noncommutative Geometry from D0-branes in a Background B-field*, hep-th/9803031 F. Ardalan, H. Arfaei and M.M. Sheikh-Jabbari, Noncommutative Geometry From Strings and Branes, hep-th/9810072

[4] S. Iso, Y. Kimura, K. Tanaka and K. Wakatsuki, *Non-commutative Gauge Theory on Fuzzy Sphere from Matrix Model*, Nucl.Phys. B604 (2001) 121-147, hep-th/0101102

[5] J. Madore, *The Fuzzy Sphere*, Class.Quant.Grav. 9 (1992) 69,
Ursula Carow-Watamura, Satoshi Watamura *Chirality and Dirac Operator on Noncommutative Sphere*, Commun.Math.Phys. 183 (1997) 365-382 hep-th/9605003,
C. Klimcik *Gauge theories on the noncommutative sphere*, Commun.Math.Phys. 199 (1998) 257-279 hep-th/9710153,
Daniel Kabat, Washington Taylor *Spherical membranes in Matrix theory*, Adv.Theor.Math.Phys. 2 (1998) 181-206 hep-th/9711078,
Ursula Carow-Watamura, Satoshi Watamura *Noncommutative Geometry and Gauge Theory on Fuzzy Sphere*, Commun.Math.Phys. 212 (2000) 395-413 hep-th/9801195,
A.P. Balachandran, X. Martin, Denjoe O'Connor, *Fuzzy Actions and their Continuum Limits*, Int.J.Mod.Phys. A16 (2001) 2577-2594, hep-th/0007030,
Marcus Spradlin, Anastasia Volovich *Noncommutative solitons on Kahler manifolds*, hep-th/0106180

[6] A.Yu. Alekseev, A. Recknagel, V. Schomerus *Brane Dynamics in Background Fluxes and Non-commutative Geometry*, JHEP 0005 (2000) 010 hep-th/0003187;

[7] A. Y. Alekseev and V. Schomerus, *D-branes in the WZW model*, hep-th/9812193;
A.Yu. Alekseev, A. Recknagel, V. Schomerus *Non-commutative World-volume Geometries: Branes on SU(2) and Fuzzy Spheres*, JHEP 9909 (1999) 023 hep-th/9908040;
Stefan Fredenhagen, Volker Schomerus *Branes on Group Manifolds, Gluon Condensates, and twisted K-theory*, JHEP 0104 (2001) 007 hep-th/0012164;
S. Fredenhagen and V. Schomerus, *Brane Dynamics in CFT Background*, hep-th/0104043;

Anton Yu. Alekseev, Andreas Recknagel, Volker Schomerus *Open Strings and Non-commutative Geometry of Branes on Group Manifolds*, Mod.Phys.Lett. A16 (2001) 325-336 hep-th/0104054

[8] Yasuaki Hikida, Masatoshi Nozaki, Yuji Sugawara *Formation of Spherical D2-brane from Multiple D0-branes*, hep-th/0101211 ;
Y. Gao and Z. Yang, *Interactions between Dielectric Branes*, hep-th/0102151

[9] Koji Hashimoto, Kirill Krasnov *D-brane Solutions in Non-Commutative Gauge Theory on Fuzzy Sphere*, hep-th/0101145

[10] Juan Maldacena, Gregory Moore, Nathan Seiberg *Geometrical interpretation of D-branes in gauged WZW models*, hep-th/0105038

[11] H. Aoki, S. Iso, H. Kawai, Y. Kitazawa, T. Tada *Space-Time Structures from IIB Matrix Model*, Prog.Theor.Phys. 99 (1998) 713-746 hep-th/9802085

[12] R.C. Myers *Dielectric-Branes*, JHEP 9912 (1999) 022 hep-th/9910053